

4

**JASON BLUMBERG, NY State Bar No. 4055257**

Trial Attorney

**ANTONIA G. DARLING, State Bar No. 76190**

Assistant United States Trustee

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Office of the United States Trustee

501 "I" Street, Suite 7-500

Sacramento, CA 95814-2322

(916) 930-2100 / Fax (916) 930-2099

Antonia.Darling@usdoj.gov

Jason.blumberg@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States Trustee,

Region 17, Tracy Hope Davis

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

In re:

Case No. 16-22134-D-7

DC No.: UST-1

**STANLEY MAYFIELD,**

Date: June 15, 2016

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: D

Courtroom: 34

Debtor.

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE  
FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS**

The United States Trustee for the Eastern District of California (the "UST") hereby moves (the "**Motion**") the Court to enter an order imposing sanctions against **Pauldeep Bains, Esq.**, for violating Local Bankruptcy Rule 9004-1(c). In support of the Motion, the UST respectfully states as follows:

1           1. The Petition, Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs in this case each bears  
2 the Debtor's electronic signature.<sup>1</sup> Thus, by filing the documents, Mr. Bains represented to the  
3 Court that he possessed the Debtor's original signatures at the time of filing.<sup>2</sup>

4           2. This representation was false. At the time of filing, Mr. Bains instead possessed  
5 only electronic signatures that were generated and affixed to the bankruptcy documents by the  
6 **DocuSign** service.<sup>3</sup> Thus, Mr. Bains violated Local Bankruptcy Rule 9004-1(c).

8           3. DocuSign is a company that is used to electronically sign documents in compliance  
9 with the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (the "**ESIGN Act**"). The  
10 **ESIGN Act** provides, in relevant part, that "a signature, contract, or other record relating to such  
11 transaction may not be denied legal effect, validity, or enforceability solely because it is in  
12 electronic form." This provision, however, does not apply to documents executed in connection  
13 with court proceedings.<sup>4</sup>

15           4. The UST has no reason to suspect bad faith. By all indications, Mr. Bains reviewed  
16 the bankruptcy documents with the Debtor, and the Debtor approved the documents before they  
17 were filed.

19           5. Still, the use of DocuSign-generated signatures represents a significant departure  
20 from the current practice before this Court. Mr. Bains should have obtained Court approval  
21

---

23           <sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 1 to the Motion, at pp. 8, 34 and 43; Declaration of Tina Spyksma filed  
24 herewith (the "**Spyksma Declaration**"), at ¶ 3.

25           <sup>2</sup> See Local Bankruptcy Rule 9004-1(c)(1)(C).

26           <sup>3</sup> See Declaration of Irma H. Garcia filed herewith (the "**Garcia Declaration**"), at ¶¶ 3-7;  
27 Exhibit 5 to the Motion (emails); Exhibit 6 (documents received from counsel), at pp. 339, 365, and 374;  
28 Exhibit 7 to the Motion (declaration from Debtor), at pp. 380-83 (¶¶ 9, 12-14).

<sup>4</sup> See 15 U.S.C. §§ 7001(a)(1) and 7003(b)(1).

1 before using the new procedure in this case (as well as in Case Nos. 16-22021-C-7, 16-22022-A-  
2 7, and 16-22023-C-7).<sup>5</sup>

3 6. DocuSign may be a modern and cost-effective tool for obtaining client signatures in  
4 other contexts. But it is not clear that it provides the same level of protection as an original  
5 manual signature. What if, for instance, a debtor denies signing a document and instead claims  
6 that a spouse, child or roommate had access to the debtor's computer or email account?  
7

8 7. By requiring e-filers to possess original manual signatures, the Court's electronic  
9 filing rules diminish these uncertainties.

10 8. The Motion is based upon the memorandum of points and authorities filed herewith  
11 (the "MPA"); the Spyksma Declaration; the Garcia Declaration; the record in this case; and such  
12 evidence as may be filed to supplement the Motion, or as may be introduced at the hearing on the  
13 Motion. Judicial notice is requested of all documents filed in this case, including those  
14 referenced herein and in the MPA, pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

---

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>5</sup> See Garcia Declaration, at ¶¶ 3-6; Exhibits 2-4 to Motion (petitions and schedules);  
28 Exhibit 5 to the Motion (emails); Exhibit 6 (documents received from counsel), at pp., 196, 221, and 228  
(Case No. 16-22021-C-7), pp. 241, 270, and 279 (Case No. 16-22023-C-7), pp. 292, 317, and 326 (Case  
No. 16-22022-A-7).

Executed in Sacramento, California on May 3, 2016.

TRACY HOPE DAVIS  
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

Office of the United States Trustee  
501 "I" Street, Suite 7-500  
Sacramento, CA 95814-2322  
Direct Phone: (916) 930-2076  
Fax: (916) 930-2099  
E-mail: [Jason.blumberg@usdoj.gov](mailto:Jason.blumberg@usdoj.gov)

<sup>6</sup> The UST believes that a nominal sanction is appropriate. The UST suggests that the Court require Mr. Bains to complete the on-line training entitled “How to e-File in the eCalWebFiling Website,” which the Court offers on its website.